

ON SEMISTABLE PRINCIPAL BUNDLES OVER A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE MANIFOLD, II

INDRANIL BISWAS AND UGO BRUZZO

ABSTRACT. Let (X, ω) be a compact connected Kähler manifold of complex dimension d and $E_G \rightarrow X$ a holomorphic principal G -bundle, where G is a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $Z(G)$ denote the center of G . We prove that the following three statements are equivalent:

- (1) There is a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ and a holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_P \subset E_G$ to P , such that the corresponding $L(P)/Z(G)$ -bundle

$$E_{L(P)/Z(G)} := E_P(L(P)/Z(G)) \rightarrow X$$

admits a unitary flat connection, where $L(P)$ is the Levi quotient of P .

- (2) The adjoint vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat.
- (3) The principal G -bundle E_G is pseudostable, and

$$\int_X c_2(\text{ad}(E_G)) \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

If X is a complex projective manifold, and ω represents a rational cohomology class, then the third statement is equivalent to the statement that E_G is semistable with $c_2(\text{ad}(E_G)) = 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $Z(G) \subset G$ be the center of G . Fix a proper parabolic subgroup $Q \subset G$ without any simple factor. Also, fix a character χ of Q that satisfies the following two conditions:

- χ is trivial on $Z(G)$, and
- for each simple factor H of $G/Z(G)$, the restriction of χ to the parabolic subgroup $H \cap (Q/Z(G)) \subset H$ is nontrivial and antidominant.

Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle over a connected complex projective manifold M . Fix a polarization on M . We have the line bundle

$$L_\chi := (E_G \times \mathbb{C})/Q \rightarrow E_G/Q$$

associated to the principal Q -bundle $E_G \rightarrow E_G/Q$ for the character χ .

The following theorem was proved in [3] (see [3, p. 24, Theorem 4.3]):

Theorem 1.1. *The following four statements are equivalent.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32L05, 14L10, 14F05.

Key words and phrases. Principal bundle, pseudostability, numerical effectiveness.

- (1) *The principal G -bundle E_G is semistable, and the second Chern class*

$$c_2(\mathrm{ad}(E_G)) \in H^4(M, \mathbb{Q})$$

vanishes.

- (2) *The associated line bundle L_χ over E_G/Q is numerically effective.*
 (3) *For every pair of the form (Y, ψ) , where Y is a compact connected Riemann surface and*

$$\psi : Y \longrightarrow M$$

a holomorphic map, and for every holomorphic reduction $E_Q \subset \psi^*E_G$ of structure group to Q of the principal G -bundle $\psi^*E_G \longrightarrow Y$, the associated line bundle

$$E_Q(\chi) = (E_Q \times \mathbb{C})/Q \longrightarrow Y$$

is of nonnegative degree.

- (4) *For any pair (Y, ψ) as in statement (3), the principal G -bundle ψ^*E_G over Y is semistable.*

We also recall that a vector bundle E over a compact Kähler manifold is polystable with $c_i(E) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ if and only if E admits a unitary flat connection [9], [17]. This holds also for principal bundles [1].

We show that the equivalent conditions in Theorem 1.1 can be expressed using unitary flat connections on some associated bundles.

For a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$, its Levi quotient will be denoted by $L(P)$. The center $Z(G)$ is contained in P , and it projects isomorphically to $L(P)$. So $Z(G)$ will be considered as a subgroup of $L(P)$.

We prove the following theorem (see Theorem 5.1):

Theorem 1.2. *Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle over a compact connected Kähler manifold (X, ω) , where G is a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Then the following three statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ and a holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_P \subset E_G$ to P , such that the corresponding $L(P)/Z(G)$ -bundle*

$$E_P(L(P)/Z(G)) = (E_P \times (L(P)/Z(G)))/P \longrightarrow X$$

admits a unitary flat connection (see Definition 2.4).

- (2) *The adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat.*
 (3) *The principal G -bundle E_G is pseudostable (see Definition 2.1), and*

$$\int_X c_2(\mathrm{ad}(E_G)) \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

If X is a complex projective manifold, and ω represents a rational cohomology class, then the third statement in Theorem 5.1 coincides with the first statement in Theorem 1.1 (see Corollary 5.2). An equivalent form of this result was proved in [6] (as a particular case of a result valid for principal Higgs bundles).

2. PSEUDOSTABILITY AND NUMERICALLY FLATNESS

Let X be a compact connected Kähler manifold of complex dimension d . Fix a Kähler form ω on X . Let d be the complex dimension of X . For any torsionfree coherent analytic sheaf F on X , the *degree* of F is defined to be

$$\text{degree}(F) := \int_X c_1(F) \wedge \omega^{d-1} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let $U \subset X$ be any dense open subset such that the complement $X \setminus U$ is a complex analytic subspace of X of complex codimension at least two. Let $\iota : U \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. For any holomorphic vector bundle E over U such that the direct image $\iota_* E$ is a coherent analytic sheaf on X , define

$$\text{degree}(E) := \text{degree}(\iota_* E).$$

We note that in the special case where X is a complex projective manifold, for any vector bundle E defined over a Zariski open dense subset $U \subset X$ such that complement $X \setminus U$ is of complex codimension at least two, the direct image $\iota_* E$ is a coherent sheaf on X , where ι as before is the inclusion of U in X .

If $E \neq 0$, it is customary to denote the real number $\text{degree}(E)/\text{rank}(E)$ by $\mu(E)$; this number $\mu(E)$ is also called the *slope* of E .

A torsionfree coherent analytic sheaf F over X is called *stable* (respectively, *semistable*) if

$$\mu(F') < \mu(F)$$

(respectively, $\mu(F') \leq \mu(F)$) for all coherent subsheaves $F' \subset F$ with $0 < \text{rank}(F') < \text{rank}(F)$. A semistable sheaf is called *polystable* if it is a direct sum of stable sheaves.

A holomorphic vector bundle F over X is called *pseudostable* if there exists a filtration of holomorphic subbundles of F

$$(2.1) \quad 0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset F_n = F$$

such that for each $i \in [1, n]$, the quotient F_i/F_{i-1} is a polystable vector bundle with $\mu(F_i/F_{i-1}) = \mu(F)$.

Therefore, any pseudostable vector bundle is semistable. We note that any semistable vector bundle E admits a filtration of coherent analytic subsheaves

$$0 = E_0 \subset E_1 \subset \cdots \subset E_{m-1} \subset E_m = E$$

such that the quotient sheaf E_i/E_{i-1} is polystable with $\mu(E_i/E_{i-1}) = \mu(E)$ for all $i \in [1, m]$ (see [10, p. 23, Lemma 1.5.5]). However, the subsheaves F_i in Eq. (2.1) are required to be locally free.

We will briefly recall the definitions of stable and semistable principal bundles (see [14], [1] for the details).

Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over the field of complex numbers. Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle over X .

Consider all triples (P, U, σ) of the following form:

- $P \subset G$ is a proper maximal parabolic subgroup,
- $\iota : U \hookrightarrow X$ is a dense open subset such that the complement $X \setminus U$ is an analytic subset of X of (complex) codimension at least two, and
- $\sigma : U \longrightarrow (E_G/P)|_U$ is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G to P over U satisfying the condition that the direct image $\iota_* \sigma^* T_{\text{rel}}$ is a coherent analytic sheaf on X , where $T_{\text{rel}} \longrightarrow E_G/P$ is the relative tangent bundle for the projection $E_G/P \longrightarrow X$.

The principal G -bundle E_G is called *semistable* (respectively, *stable*) if for each triple (P, U, σ) of the above type, the inequality

$$\text{degree}(\sigma^* T_{\text{rel}}) \geq 0$$

(respectively, $\text{degree}(\sigma^* T_{\text{rel}}) > 0$) holds.

Let

$$(2.2) \quad Z(G) \subset G$$

be the center. We note that $Z(G)$ is contained in all parabolic subgroups of G .

A holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G

$$E_Q \subset E_G$$

to some parabolic subgroup $Q \subset G$ is called *admissible* if for each character λ of Q which is trivial on $Z(G)$, the degree of the line bundle $E_Q(\mathbb{C}) = (E_Q \times \mathbb{C})/Q \longrightarrow X$ associated to Q for λ is zero [14, p. 307, Definition 3.3].

A holomorphic principal G -bundle $E_G \longrightarrow X$ is called *polystable* if either E_G is stable, or there is a proper parabolic subgroup $Q \subset G$ and a holomorphic reduction of structure group

$$E_G \supset E_{L(Q)} \longrightarrow X$$

to a Levi subgroup $L(Q)$ of Q such that the following two conditions hold:

- (1) the principal $L(Q)$ -bundle $E_{L(Q)}$ is stable, and
- (2) the reduction of structure group of E_G to Q obtained by extending the structure group of $E_{L(Q)}$ using the inclusion of $L(Q)$ in Q is admissible.

(A Levi subgroup of a parabolic group Q is a maximal reductive subgroup of Q . Any Levi subgroup of Q projects isomorphically onto the Levi quotient of Q ; see [12, p. 217, Theorem 7.1].)

For a principal G -bundle $E_G \longrightarrow X$, its adjoint vector bundle

$$\text{ad}(E_G) := E_G(\mathfrak{g}) = (E_G \times \mathfrak{g})/G \longrightarrow X$$

is the one associated to E_G for the adjoint action of G on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. We recall from [4] the definition of a pseudostable principal G -bundle.

Definition 2.1. ([4, p. 26, Definition 2.3]). A holomorphic principal G -bundle

$$E_G \longrightarrow X$$

is called *pseudostable* if its adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is pseudostable.

A principal G -bundle E_G is semistable if and only if the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_G)$ is semistable [1, p. 214, Proposition 2.10]. Therefore, a pseudostable principal bundle is semistable.

A holomorphic line bundle L over a compact complex manifold M is called *numerically effective* if L admits Hermitian structures whose curvatures have arbitrarily small negative part (see [8, p. 299, Definition 1.2]). When M is a complex projective manifold, this definition coincides with the usual definition of numerical effectiveness which says that the degree of the restriction of the line bundle to each irreducible complete curve is nonnegative.

A holomorphic vector bundle E over M is called *numerically effective* if the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ over the total space of the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow M$ is numerically effective [8, p. 305, Definition 1.9]. A holomorphic vector bundle E over M is called *numerically flat* if both E and E^* are numerically effective [8, p. 311, Definition 1.17].

The following theorem is proved in [8] (see [8, p. 311, Theorem 1.18]):

Theorem 2.2 ([8]). *A holomorphic vector bundle $E \longrightarrow X$ is numerically flat if and only if E admits a filtration of holomorphic subbundles such that each successive quotient admits a unitary flat connection.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let $E \longrightarrow X$ be a numerically flat vector bundle. Then E is pseudostable, and $c_i(E) \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ vanishes for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. A holomorphic vector bundle $V \longrightarrow X$ admits a unitary flat connection if and only if V is polystable and $c_i(V) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ [9], [17]. Therefore, the lemma follows from Theorem 2.2. $\square$

Let H be a reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. Fix a maximal compact subgroup $K(H) \subset H$.

Definition 2.4. A holomorphic principal H -bundle $E_H \longrightarrow X$ is said to admit a *unitary flat connection* if E_H is given by a representation of the fundamental group of X in $K(H)$.

Remark 2.5. Since H is reductive, the Lie algebra of H , considered as a H -module, is self-dual. Therefore, for any principal H -bundle E_H , we have $\mathrm{ad}(E_H) = \mathrm{ad}(E_H)^*$.

3. PROJECTIVELY UNITARY FLAT LEVI BUNDLES

Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. The Lie algebra of G will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$. Let

$$P \subset G$$

be a parabolic subgroup. Let

$$(3.1) \quad R_u(P) \subset P$$

be the unipotent radical. So $R_u(P)$ is the unique maximal connected normal unipotent subgroup of P . Consider the adjoint action of P on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Using it, $\mathfrak{g}$ will be considered as a P -module.

Lemma 3.1. *There is a filtration of P -modules*

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{\ell-1} \subset W_\ell =: \mathfrak{g}$$

such that for each $i \in [1, \ell]$, the action on W_i/W_{i-1} of the subgroup $R_u(P)$ is the trivial one.

Proof. Set

$$W_1 := \{v \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \text{Ad}(g)(v) = v \ \forall \ g \in R_u(P)\}.$$

Since $R_u(P)$ is a normal subgroup of P , the action of P on $\mathfrak{g}$ preserves W_1 . Now construct W_i inductively in the following way.

Define

$$W'_j := \{v \in \mathfrak{g}/W_{j-1} \mid \text{Ad}(g)(v) = v \ \forall \ g \in R_u(P)\}.$$

The action of P on $\mathfrak{g}/W_{j-1}$ preserves W'_j . Now set

$$W_j := q_{j-1}^{-1}(W'_j) \subset \mathfrak{g},$$

where $q_{j-1} : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/W_{j-1}$ is the quotient map. The resulting filtration

$$0 = W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \cdots \subset W_{\ell-1} \subset W_\ell =: \mathfrak{g}$$

clearly satisfies the condition in the lemma. □

Let $E_G \longrightarrow X$ be a holomorphic principal G -bundle.

Let $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup. Let

$$L(P) := P/R_u(P)$$

be the Levi quotient, which is a connected reductive group. Consider the quotient map $P \longrightarrow L(P)$. Its restriction to the center $Z(G)$ (see Eq. (2.2)) is an isomorphism. Using this map, we will consider $Z(G)$ as a subgroup of $L(P)$. Note that $Z(G)$ is contained in the center of $L(P)$. Let

$$(3.2) \quad L'(P) := L(P)/Z(G)$$

be the quotient group. For a principal P -bundle $E_P \longrightarrow X$, let

$$(3.3) \quad E_{L'(P)} := E_P(L'(P)) = (E_P \times L'(P))/P \longrightarrow X$$

be the principal $L'(P)$ -bundle obtained by extending the structure group of E_P using the quotient map of P to $L'(P)$ defined in Eq. (3.2).

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that there is a holomorphic reduction of structure group*

$$(3.4) \quad E_P \subset E_G$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) *the principal $L'(P)$ -bundle $E_{L'(P)}$ defined in Eq. (3.3) is polystable,*
- (2) *for every character χ of $L'(P)$, the line bundle*

$$(3.5) \quad E_{L'(P)}(\chi) := (E_{L'(P)} \times \mathbb{C})/L'(P) \longrightarrow X$$

associated to $E_{L'(P)}$ for χ is of degree zero, and

$$(3.6) \quad \int_X c_1(E_{L'(P)}(\chi))^2 \omega^{d-2} = 0,$$

where $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$, and

- (3) *for the adjoint vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_{L'(P)})$ of $E_{L'(P)}$,*

$$(3.7) \quad \int_X c_2(\text{ad}(E_{L'(P)})) \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

Then the adjoint vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_G)$ admits a filtration of subbundles

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{\ell-1} \subset V_{\ell} = \text{ad}(E_G)$$

such that for each $i \in [1, \ell]$, the quotient vector bundle V_i/V_{i-1} admits a unitary flat connection.

Proof. Consider the filtration $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^{\ell}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ in Lemma 3.1. Let

$$E_{W_i} := E_P(W_i) \longrightarrow X$$

be the holomorphic vector bundle associated to the principal P -bundle E_P in Eq. (3.4) for the P -module W_i . So the filtration $\{W_i\}_{i=0}^{\ell}$ gives a filtration of holomorphic vector bundles

$$(3.8) \quad 0 = E_{W_0} \subset E_{W_1} \subset \cdots \subset E_{W_{\ell-1}} \subset E_{W_{\ell}} = \text{ad}(E_G).$$

For any $i \in [1, \ell]$, the quotient vector bundle $E_{W_i}/E_{W_{i-1}}$ is clearly identified with the vector bundle associated to the principal P -bundle E_P for the P -module W_i/W_{i-1} .

Take any $i \in [1, \ell]$. Since $R_u(P)$ acts trivially on W_i/W_{i-1} , the action of P on W_i/W_{i-1} factors through an action of the Levi quotient $L(P) = P/R_u(P)$ on W_i/W_{i-1} . Also, the subgroup $Z(G)$ in Eq. (2.2) acts trivially on $\mathfrak{g}$. Consequently, the action of P on W_i/W_{i-1} factors through an action of the quotient $L'(P)$ in Eq. (3.2). The quotient bundle $E_{W_i}/E_{W_{i-1}}$ is identified with the vector bundle $E_{L'(P)}(W_i/W_{i-1})$ associated to the principal $L'(P)$ -bundle $E_{L'(P)}$ in Eq. (3.3) for the $L'(P)$ -module W_i/W_{i-1} .

Since $E_{L'(P)}$ is polystable, it admits a unique Hermitian–Einstein connection [1, p. 208, Theorem 0.1]; we will denote this connection by ∇ . Consider the Hermitian–Einstein connection on the adjoint vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_{L'(P)})$ induced by the connection ∇ on $E_{L'(P)}$. Since $\bigwedge^{\text{top}} \text{ad}(E_{L'(P)})$ is a trivial line bundle (see Remark 2.5), and Eq. (3.7) holds, it follows that the Hermitian–Einstein connection on $\text{ad}(E_{L'(P)})$ is flat [11, Ch. IV, § 4, p. 114, Theorem (4.7)].

Given any character χ of $L'(P)$, consider the Hermitian–Einstein connection on the associated line bundle $E_{L'(P)}(\chi)$ (see Eq. (3.5)) induced by ∇ . Since $\text{degree}(E_{L'(P)}(\chi)) = 0$ (see condition (2) in the proposition), and Eq. (3.6) holds, from [11, Ch. IV, § 4, p. 114, Theorem (4.7)] it follows that the Hermitian–Einstein connection on $E_{L'(P)}(\chi)$ is flat. We noted above that the induced connection on $\text{ad}(E_{L'(P)})$ is flat. The quotient $L'(P)/[L'(P), L'(P)]$ is a product of copies of $\mathbb{G}_m$, and the homomorphism

$$L'(P) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Lie}(L'(P))) \times (L'(P)/[L'(P), L'(P)])$$

gives an injective homomorphism of Lie algebras; here $L'(P) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(\text{Lie}(L'(P)))$ is the adjoint action. Therefore, from the above observations that the induced connections on $\text{ad}(E_{L'(P)})$ and all associated line bundles are flat we conclude that the Hermitian–Einstein connection ∇ on $E_{L'(P)}$ is flat.

Consequently, the connection on the associated vector bundle $E_{L'(P)}(W_i/W_{i-1})$ induced by ∇ is unitary flat. In view of the filtration in Eq. (3.8), this completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

Combining Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 3.2, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that E_G satisfies the conditions in Proposition 3.2. Then the vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat.*

4. PSEUDOSTABLE ADJOINT BUNDLE

Let

$$(4.1) \quad E_G \longrightarrow X$$

be a pseudostable principal G –bundle. Define

$$(4.2) \quad G' := G/Z(G),$$

where $Z(G)$ is the center (see Eq. (2.2)). Let

$$(4.3) \quad E_{G'} := E_G(G') = E_G/Z(G) \longrightarrow X$$

be the principal G' –bundle obtained by extending the structure group of the principal G –bundle in Eq. (4.1) using the quotient map $G \longrightarrow G'$. Let $\text{ad}(E_{G'})$ be the adjoint vector bundle of $E_{G'}$. The Lie algebra of G' will be denoted by $\mathfrak{g}'$.

Note that we have a decomposition of G –modules

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the Lie algebra of $Z(G)$. Therefore,

$$(4.4) \quad \text{ad}(E_G) = \text{ad}(E_{G'}) \oplus (X \times \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where $X \times \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the trivial vector bundle over X with fiber $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is pseudostable, from Eq. (4.4) we conclude that the vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_{G'})$ is also pseudostable. In particular, $\text{ad}(E_{G'})$ is semistable.

Note that $\deg(\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})) = 0$ (see Remark 2.5). Let

$$S_1 \subset \mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$$

be the unique maximal polystable subsheaf of degree zero of the semistable vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$; this subsheaf S_1 is called the *socle* of $\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$ (see [10, p. 23, Lemma 1.5.5]). The condition that $\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$ is pseudostable implies that S_1 is in fact a subbundle of $\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$. We note that the quotient $\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})/S_1$ is also a pseudostable vector bundle of degree zero.

Now inductively define S'_{i+1} to be the socle of $\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})/S_i$. Inductively, it follows that S'_{i+1} is locally free. Now define

$$S_{i+1} = \eta_i^{-1}(S'_{i+1}) \subset \mathrm{ad}(E_{G'}),$$

where $\eta_i : \mathrm{ad}(E_{G'}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})/S_i$ is the quotient map. Therefore, we have a filtration of subbundles

$$(4.5) \quad 0 = S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \cdots \subset S_{\ell-1} \subset S_\ell = \mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$$

such that each successive quotient is a polystable vector bundle of degree zero.

The filtration in Eq. (4.5) gives a holomorphic reduction of structure group

$$(4.6) \quad E_Q \subset E_{G'}$$

over X , where Q is a parabolic subgroup of G' ; see [1, p. 218]. We note that the reduction of structure group in [1, p. 218] is only over an open subset of X because the filtration of the adjoint vector bundle in [1] is by subsheaves and not necessarily by subbundles; while in Eq. (4.5), each S_i is a subbundle of $\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$. Hence the reduction of structure group E_Q in Eq. (4.6) is over entire X . The integer ℓ in Eq. (4.5) is odd. The reduction E_Q is defined by the following condition: the adjoint vector bundle

$$\mathrm{ad}(E_Q) \subset \mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$$

coincides with $S_{(\ell+1)/2}$. This condition determines the pair (Q, E_Q) in the following sense: for any other pair (Q_1, E_{Q_1}) satisfying this condition, there is some $g \in G'$ such that

- $Q_1 = g^{-1}Qg$, and
- $E_{Q_1} = E_Q g$.

See [1] for the details.

Fix a pair (Q, E_Q) such that $\mathrm{ad}(E_Q) \subset \mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$ coincides with $S_{(\ell+1)/2}$.

Let

$$(4.7) \quad R_u(Q) \subset Q$$

be the unipotent radical of Q . Let

$$(4.8) \quad L(Q) := Q/R_u(Q)$$

be the Levi quotient.

Let

$$(4.9) \quad E_{L(Q)} := E_Q(L(Q)) \longrightarrow X$$

be the principal $L(Q)$ -bundle obtained by extending the structure group of E_Q (see Eq. (4.6)) using the quotient map of Q to $L(Q)$ in Eq. (4.8).

Proposition 4.1. *Let $E_G \longrightarrow X$ be a pseudostable principal G -bundle such that*

$$(4.10) \quad \int_X c_2(\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})) \omega^{d-2} = 0,$$

where $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ and $E_{G'}$ is defined in Eq. (4.3).

Then the principal $L(Q)$ -bundle $E_{L(Q)}$ in Eq. (4.9) is polystable.

Also, the following two statements hold:

- (1) *For every character χ of $L(Q)$, the line bundle*

$$E_{L(Q)}(\chi) \longrightarrow X$$

associated to $E_{L(Q)}$ for χ is of degree zero, and

$$(4.11) \quad \int_X c_1(E_{L(Q)}(\chi))^2 \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

- (2) *For the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_{L(Q)})$ of $E_{L(Q)}$,*

$$(4.12) \quad \int_X c_2(\mathrm{ad}(E_{L(Q)})) \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

Proof. As we mentioned earlier, the subbundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_Q) \subset \mathrm{ad}(E_{G'})$ coincides with $S_{(\ell+1)/2}$ in Eq. (4.5). The unipotent radical subbundle of $\mathrm{ad}(E_Q)$ coincides with $S_{(\ell-1)/2}$. Hence the adjoint vector bundle $\mathrm{ad}(E_{L(Q)})$ is identified with the quotient bundle $S_{(\ell+1)/2}/S_{(\ell-1)/2}$ (see [1]). Since each successive quotient in Eq. (4.5) is polystable, we conclude that $\mathrm{ad}(E_{L(Q)})$ is a polystable vector bundle. Therefore, the principal $L(Q)$ -bundle $E_{L(Q)}$ is polystable [1, p. 224, Corollary 3.8].

We will now show that Eq. (4.12) holds.

Let $W \longrightarrow X$ be any polystable vector bundle with $c_1(W) = 0$. The Bogomolov inequality says that

$$(4.13) \quad \int_X c_2(W) \omega^{d-2} \geq 0$$

(see [11, Ch. IV, § 4, p. 114, Theorem (4.7)], [5]). In particular, for any polystable vector bundle F ,

$$(4.14) \quad \int_X c_2(\mathrm{End}(F)) \omega^{d-2} \geq 0$$

(from [13, p. 285, Theorem 3.18] it follows that the vector bundle $\mathrm{End}(F) = F \otimes F^*$ is also polystable).

From Eq. (4.5),

$$c_2(\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{ad}(E_{G'}))) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} c_2(\mathrm{End}(S_i/S_{i-1}))$$

$$+ \sum_{j=2}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} c_2(((S_i/S_{i-1}) \otimes (S_j/S_{j-1})^*) \oplus ((S_i/S_{i-1})^* \otimes (S_j/S_{j-1}))).$$

Note that $((S_i/S_{i-1}) \otimes (S_j/S_{j-1})^*) \oplus ((S_i/S_{i-1})^* \otimes (S_j/S_{j-1}))$ is a polystable vector bundle because both S_i/S_{i-1} and S_j/S_{j-1} are polystable with

$$\mu(S_i/S_{i-1}) = \mu(S_j/S_{j-1})$$

(see [13, p. 285, Theorem 3.18]). Also,

$$c_1(((S_i/S_{i-1}) \otimes (S_j/S_{j-1})^*) \oplus ((S_i/S_{i-1})^* \otimes (S_j/S_{j-1}))) = 0$$

because $((S_i/S_{i-1}) \otimes (S_j/S_{j-1})^*) \oplus ((S_i/S_{i-1})^* \otimes (S_j/S_{j-1}))$ is self-dual. Hence from Eq. (4.13) and Eq. (4.14) we have

$$(4.15) \quad \int_X c_2(\text{End}(\text{ad}(E_{G'}))) \omega^{d-2} \geq \int_X c_2(\text{End}(S_i/S_{i-1})) \omega^{d-2}$$

for all $i \in [1, \ell]$.

We have $c_1(\text{ad}(E_{G'})) = 0$ (see Remark 2.5). Hence from Eq. (4.10) it follows immediately that

$$\int_X c_2(\text{End}(\text{ad}(E_{G'}))) \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

Therefore, from Eq. (4.15) and Eq. (4.14),

$$(4.16) \quad \int_X c_2(\text{End}(\text{ad}(E_{L(Q)}))) \omega^{d-2} = \int_X c_2(\text{End}(S_{(\ell+1)/2}/S_{(\ell-1)/2})) \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

We have $c_1(\text{ad}(E_{L(Q)})) = 0$ (see Remark 2.5). Therefore, from Eq. (4.16) it follows immediately that Eq. (4.12) holds.

Next we will show that for every character χ of $L(Q)$, the associated line bundle $E_{L(Q)}(\chi) \rightarrow X$ is of degree zero.

Consider the adjoint action of Q on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}'$ of G' . Let

$$0 = W'_0 \subset W'_1 \subset \cdots \subset W'_{n-1} \subset W'_n =: \mathfrak{g}'$$

be the filtration of Q -modules constructed as in Lemma 3.1. Therefore, the subgroup $R_u(Q)$ in Eq. (4.7) acts trivially on the direct sum

$$(4.17) \quad \widehat{W} := \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W'_i/W'_{i-1}.$$

Consequently, the action of Q on $\widehat{W}$ factors through an action of $L(Q)$ on $\widehat{W}$. Since G' acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{g}'$ (the center of G' is trivial), the action of $L(Q)$ on $\widehat{W}$ is also faithful.

Let

$$(4.18) \quad \mathcal{W} := E_{L(Q)}(\widehat{W}) \rightarrow X$$

be the vector bundle associated to the principal $L(Q)$ -bundle $E_{L(Q)}$ for the $L(Q)$ -module $\widehat{W}$ in Eq. (4.17). From the construction of the reduction E_Q it follows that the vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum

$$(4.19) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\ell} S_i/S_{i-1}$$

associated to the filtration in Eq. (4.5). We recall that for each $i \in [1, \ell]$, the quotient S_i/S_{i-1} is a polystable vector bundle of degree zero. Hence the vector bundle $\mathcal{W}$, which is isomorphic to the vector bundle in Eq. (4.19), is also polystable of degree zero. Further note that as elements of the Grothendieck K -group, we have

$$[\mathcal{W}] = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} [S_i/S_{i-1}] = [\text{ad}(E_{G'})] \in K(X).$$

In particular,

$$(4.20) \quad c_1(\mathcal{W}) = c_1(\text{ad}(E_{G'})) = 0$$

and

$$(4.21) \quad c_2(\mathcal{W}) = c_2(\text{ad}(E_{G'})).$$

Take any one-dimensional $L(Q)$ -module $\mathcal{M}$. We noted earlier that $L(Q)$ acts faithfully on the $L(Q)$ -module $\widehat{W}$ in Eq. (4.17). Consequently, there are nonnegative integers a and b such that $\mathcal{M}$ is a direct summand of the $L(Q)$ -module

$$(4.22) \quad W_{a,b} := (\otimes^a \widehat{W}) \otimes (\otimes^b \widehat{W}^*)$$

[7, p. 40, Proposition 3.1(a)]. Let

$$(4.23) \quad \mathcal{W}_{a,b} := E_{L(Q)}(W_{a,b}) \longrightarrow X$$

be the vector bundle associated to the principal $L(Q)$ -bundle $E_{L(Q)}$ for the $L(Q)$ -module $W_{a,b}$ in Eq. (4.22). Let

$$(4.24) \quad L_{\mathcal{M}} := E_{L(Q)}(\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow X$$

be the holomorphic line bundle associated to the principal $L(Q)$ -bundle $E_{L(Q)}$ for the above one-dimensional $L(Q)$ -module $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{M}$ is a direct summand of the $L(Q)$ -module $W_{a,b}$, there is a holomorphic vector bundle V over X such that

$$(4.25) \quad \mathcal{W}_{a,b} = L_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus V,$$

where $\mathcal{W}_{a,b}$ is constructed in Eq. (4.23).

From Eq. (4.22) and Eq. (4.18) we have

$$(4.26) \quad \mathcal{W}_{a,b} = (\otimes^a \mathcal{W}) \otimes (\otimes^b \mathcal{W}^*).$$

The vector bundle $\mathcal{W}^*$ is polystable of degree zero because $\mathcal{W}$ is so. Hence from Eq. (4.26) it follows that $\mathcal{W}_{a,b}$ is also polystable of degree zero [13, p. 285, Theorem 3.18]. Now from Eq. (4.25) it follows immediately that

$$\text{degree}(L_{\mathcal{M}}) = 0.$$

To complete the proof of the proposition we need to show that Eq. (4.11) holds.

From Eq. (4.20) and Eq. (4.26) we have

$$(4.27) \quad c_1(\mathcal{W}_{a,b}) = 0.$$

In view of Eq. (4.20) and Eq. (4.26), from Eq. (4.21) and Eq. (4.10) it follows that

$$(4.28) \quad \int_X c_2(\mathcal{W}_{a,b}) \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

Let ∇ be the Hermitian–Einstein connection on the polystable vector bundle $\mathcal{W}_{a,b}$. From Eq. (4.27) and Eq. (4.28) it follows that ∇ is flat (see [11, Ch. IV, § 4, p. 114, Theorem (4.7)]). Therefore, the $(1, 0)$ -part $\nabla^{1,0}$ of ∇ is a holomorphic connection on the vector bundle $\mathcal{W}_{a,b}$; see [2] for holomorphic connections. Fix a decomposition of $\mathcal{W}_{a,b}$ as in Eq. (4.25). Let

$$\iota : L_{\mathcal{M}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}_{a,b}$$

be the inclusion, and let $q_L : \mathcal{W}_{a,b} \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the projection given by this decomposition. Now note that the composition

$$L_{\mathcal{M}} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathcal{W}_{a,b} \xrightarrow{\nabla^{1,0}} \mathcal{W}_{a,b} \otimes \Omega_X^1 \xrightarrow{q_L \otimes \text{Id}_{\Omega_X^1}} L_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \Omega_X^1,$$

where Ω_X^1 is the holomorphic cotangent bundle of X , is a holomorphic connection on the line bundle $L_{\mathcal{M}}$. Since $L_{\mathcal{M}}$ admits a holomorphic connection, we have

$$c_1(L_{\mathcal{M}}) = 0$$

(see [2, p. 196, Proposition 12]). In particular, Eq. (4.11) holds. This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

5. PSEUDOSTABILITY AND FLATNESS

We put down the previous results in the form of the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle over a compact connected Kähler manifold (X, ω) , where G is a connected reductive linear algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{C}$. The following three statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ and a holomorphic reduction of structure group $E_P \subset E_G$ to P , such that the corresponding $L(P)/Z(G)$ -bundle*

$$E_P(L(P)/Z(G)) = (E_P \times (L(P)/Z(G)))/P \longrightarrow X$$

admits a unitary flat connection.

- (2) *The adjoint vector bundle $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat.*
- (3) *The principal G -bundle E_G is pseudostable, and*

$$\int_X c_2(\text{ad}(E_G)) \omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

Proof. Assume that the first statement holds. As in Eq. (3.2), set $L'(P) := L(P)/Z(G)$. So $E_{L'(P)} := E_{L(P)}/Z(G)$ satisfies all the conditions in Proposition 3.2. Hence from Corollary 3.3 we know that $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is numerically flat.

From Lemma 2.3 we know that the second statement implies the third statement.

Finally, assume that the third statement holds. Define $G' = G/Z(G)$ and $E_{G'}$ as in Eq. (4.2) and Eq. (4.3) respectively. Using the decomposition in Eq. (4.4) we conclude that

$$\int_X c_2(\text{ad}(E_{G'}))\omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

Therefore, from Proposition 4.1 we know that $E_L(Q)$ (constructed in Eq. (4.9)) is polystable, and the two statements in Proposition 4.1 hold.

Let P be the inverse image of $Q \subset G'$ under the quotient map $G \longrightarrow G'$. We note that P is a parabolic subgroup of G . The inverse image of $E_Q \subset E_{G'}$ under the quotient map

$$E_G \longrightarrow E_{G'} = E_G/Z(G)$$

(see Eq. (4.3)) is a holomorphic reduction of structure group of E_G to P . In the proof of Proposition 3.2 we saw that the Hermitian–Einstein connection on $E_{L'(P)}$ is flat. Therefore, the first statement in the theorem holds. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Assume that X is a complex projective manifold, and ω represents a rational cohomology class. We will show that the third statement in Theorem 5.1 is equivalent to the first statement in Theorem 1.1.

Let E_G be a holomorphic principal G -bundle over the complex projective manifold X . In [4, p. 26, Proposition 2.4] it was shown that if $c_2(\text{ad}(E_G)) \in H^4(X, \mathbb{Q})$ vanishes, then E_G is semistable if and only if E_G is pseudostable. Therefore, the first statement in Theorem 1.1 implies the third statement in Theorem 5.1.

We now note that in the proof of [4, Proposition 2.4], the weaker condition that

$$\int_X c_2(\text{ad}(E_G))\omega^{d-2} = 0$$

is needed; see the last five lines in page 28 of [4]. Note that Theorem 2 in [16, p. 39] assumes only

$$\int_X c_2(\text{ad}(E_G))\omega^{d-2} = 0$$

and not the a priori stronger condition that $c_2(\text{ad}(E_G)) = 0$.

Since $c_1(\text{ad}(E_G)) = 0$ (see Remark 2.5), from [16, p. 39, Theorem 2] we conclude that $c_2(\text{ad}(E_G)) = 0$ if $\text{ad}(E_G)$ is semistable with

$$\int_X c_2(\text{ad}(E_G))\omega^{d-2} = 0.$$

Therefore, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 5.2. *Assume that X is a complex projective manifold, and the cohomology class in $H^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ represented by the Kähler form ω lies in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Then the third statement in Theorem 5.1 is equivalent to the first statement in Theorem 1.1.*

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Anchouche and I. Biswas: Einstein–Hermitian connections on polystable principal bundles over a compact Kähler manifold. *Amer. Jour. Math.* **123** (2001), 207–228.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [3] I. Biswas and U. Bruzzo: On semistable principal bundles over a complex projective manifold. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2008), article ID rnn035.
- [4] I. Biswas and T. L. Gómez: Connections and Higgs fields on a principal bundle. *Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom.* **33** (2008), 19–46.
- [5] F. A. Bogomolov: Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective varieties. *Math. USSR Izv.* **13** (1979), 499–555.
- [6] U. Bruzzo and B. Graña Otero: Semistable and numerically effective principal Higgs bundles, [arXiv:0905.2870 \[math.AG\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.2870).
- [7] P. Deligne: Hodge cycles on abelian varieties, (in: *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties*, by P. Deligne, J. S. Milne, A. Ogus and K.-Y. Shih). *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [8] J.-P. Demailly, T. Peternell and M. Schneider: Compact complex manifolds with numerically effective tangent bundles. *Jour. Alg. Geom.* **3** (1994), 295–345.
- [9] S. K. Donaldson: Infinite determinants, stable bundles and curvature. *Duke Math. Jour.* **54** (1987), 231–247.
- [10] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn: *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Aspects of Mathematics, E31. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [11] S. Kobayashi: *Differential Geometry of Complex Vector Bundles*. Publications of the Math. Society of Japan 15, Iwanami Shoten Publishers and Princeton University Press, 1987.
- [12] G. D. Mostow: Fully reducible subgroups of algebraic groups. *Amer. Jour. Math.* **78** (1956), 200–221.
- [13] S. Ramanan and A. Ramanathan: Some remarks on the instability flag. *Tôhoku Math. Jour.* **36** (1984), 269–291.
- [14] A. Ramanathan: Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface. *Math. Ann.* **213** (1975), 129–152.
- [15] A. Ramanathan and S. Subramanian: Einstein–Hermitian connections on principal bundles and stability. *Jour. Reine Angew. Math.* **390** (1988), 21–31.
- [16] C. T. Simpson: Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **75** (1992), 5–95.
- [17] K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau: On the existence of Hermitian–Yang–Mills connections on stable vector bundles. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** (1986), 257–293.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY 400005, INDIA

E-mail address: `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

SCUOLA INTERNAZIONALE SUPERIORE DI STUDI AVANZATI, VIA BEIRUT 2–4, 34013, TRIESTE, ITALY, AND ISTITUTO NAZIONALE DI FISICA NUCLEARE, SEZIONE DI TRIESTE

E-mail address: `bruzzo@sissa.it`